## Congress of the United States

## Washington, DC 20515

December 12, 2023

The Honorable David S. Johanson Chair U.S. International Trade Commission 500 E. Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20436

Dear Chairman Johanson:

We write to express our continued concerns regarding countervailing duties on phosphate fertilizers and the recent remand by the U.S. Court of International Trade of the International Trade Commission's affirmative material injury determination (Consol. Court No. 21-00219, Ct. Int'I Trade Sep. 19, 2023). Specifically, we are concerned that the voices of farmers and agricultural retailers are not being allowed into the record for consideration by the Commission on remand.

Since this process began in 2020, growers, retailers, and suppliers have provided information to the Commission and the U.S. Department of Commerce about the U.S. fertilizer market and the worsening challenges we face obtaining adequate domestic supply. We are pleased that the Commission has reopened the record, at the direction of the court, and asked industry participants to provide additional information on remand. However, we believe that the Commission is arbitrarily limiting both the information allowed to be submitted and the parties permitted to respond. As you are aware, a questionnaire was sent only to U.S. producers and U.S. importers of phosphate.

We believe additional parties, namely the farmers who need phosphate fertilizer to grow crops, the retailers who sell phosphate fertilizers, and the organizations who represent them, should be given the opportunity to respond. It is apparent that their perspectives would be helpful in expanding the Commission's understanding of the U.S. fertilizer market. Farmers and retailers have made it publicly clear that delivered inventories are not reshipped to other parts of the country. Such information would be beneficial to the Commission during the remand and consistent with the findings of the court on remand.

We believe this is not a question of the Commission's authority. In its decision, the court indicated, "...the Commission <u>may take new evidence</u>, reconsider existing evidence, or take any other action allowed by its procedures on remand to come to a conclusion supported by substantial evidence." Furthermore, the Commission consistently asserts that it has broad authority to gather information it deems relevant to determine harm. Considering the current state of the farm economy, we believe the Commission should exercise discretion to allow more voices to be heard rather than stifle those who would be most impacted by a CVD decision.

The number of countries with substantial exportable supplies of phosphate is very limited. The CVD and other disputes have resulted in only one of those countries still able to export to the U.S duty free. Meanwhile, despite the trade protection afforded by the CVD orders, U.S. phosphate fertilizer production continues to decline, even as a meaningful share of that production is exported to other markets. Our farmers benefit from a diversity of options to remain competitive in global markets.

The bottom line is that fertilizer is critical to national security and national defense. Its affordability is also critical to the farm economy. Any decision that has the ability to increase the cost of production for U.S. farmers and ranchers threatens our food security and national security. This makes it preeminently important that the Commission takes a wide approach to gathering relevant information rather than a

narrow one. We urge the Commission to give careful consideration to the information it has received in the remand proceeding before issuing its response and request that the Commission expand the recipients of the questionnaire. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

N.M.

Roger Marshall, M.D. United States Senator

Bill Hagerty J United States Senator

Kyle - Amith

Cindy Hyde-Smith United States Senator

Pete Ricketts United States Senator

Tracey Mann Member of Congress

ohn W Rose

John Rose Member of Congress

Greg Pence Member of Congress

itton

Julia Letlow, Ph.D. Member of Congress

Fischer

Deb Fischer United States Senator

ohn Rozman

John Boozman United States Senator

R. Bind

James R. Baird Member of Congress

Jim Costa Member of Congress

The Honorable David S. Johanson Chairman U.S. International Trade Commission 500 E Street SW Washington, D.C. 20436

Dear Chairman Johanson:

We write in regard to the remand decision ordered by the U.S. Court of International Trade (CIT) to the U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC) for duties on phosphate fertilizer imported from Morocco (Consol. Court No. 21-00219). As farmers continue to experience high costs and supply challenges for fertilizer inputs, our organizations hold deep concern for actions that restrict availability of phosphate into the U.S. market. We urge the ITC to consider impacts on family farms as it works to reconsider its determination of material injury to domestic industries.

Rising prices for fertilizer inputs have strained America's farmers and ranchers and have impacted availability for this critical component of nutrient and yield management. Without predictable options to source this product, farmers struggle to plan for the future. International supply chain issues further complicate the ability to source phosphate. For example, triple super phosphate is not available domestically but still faces a duty. Agriculture supply chains are intricate and complicated, and the premise that re-shipping product from an originally intended destination to respond to regional demand fluctuations is simply not correct. Instead, reliance on this incorrect premise has led to high fertilizer costs that create volatility and compromise the ability of farmers to be successful.

The ITC's affirmative injury determination in 2021 was appealed by the respondents, and several of our associations also submitted an amicus brief opposed to the determination. We understand that the CIT found that the ITC did not adequately consider factors that impacted the domestic supply when making their affirmative injury determination. The CIT further elaborated that the ITC's consideration of import increases in 2019 failed to take appropriate account for weather challenges that caused logistical hurdles and created a temporary mismatch between supply and demand in some parts of the country. Because the ITC did not consider the volume of imports in light of the regional impact of weather, the result was an injury determination without the necessary factual support. We urge the ITC to appropriately consider the issues raised by the CIT when developing their revised injury determination.

We understand that the Department of Commerce (Commerce) is also working on recalculating these duties, as the CIT found errors within their calculation process and remanded the case back to the agency. With impending decisions from Commerce and the ITC, our organizations simply want accurate consideration of the facts and impacts on American farmers. Farmers are the lifeblood of our food supply, contributing to our economic strength and the resilience of rural communities. When burdened with high input costs, farmers see ripple effects occurring in every

facet of their operation. This inhibits their ability to increase market access on the global stage and satisfy both local and regional customers.

We strongly urge the ITC to consider the points raised by the CIT in re-evaluating the material injury determination, as well as the impact on farmers. We are available to answer any questions and look forward to your forthcoming decision.

Sincerely,

Harde lebel of

Harold Wolle, Jr. President National Corn Growers Association

American Farm Bureau Federation American Soybean Association International Fresh Produce Association National Association of Wheat Growers National Cotton Council National Sorghum Producers Society of American Florists U.S. Rice Producers Association USA Rice

Alabama Soybean and Corn Association Arkansas Soybean Association California Association of Winegrape Growers Delta Council Georgia Corn Growers Association Georgia-Florida Soybean Association Illinois Corn Growers Association Illinois Soybean Association Indiana Corn Growers Association Indiana Soybean Alliance Iowa Corn Growers Association Iowa Soybean Association Kansas Corn Growers Association Kansas Soybean Association Kentucky Corn Growers Association Kentucky Soybean Association Louisiana Cotton and Grain Association Maryland Grain Producers Association Michigan Soybean Association Mid Atlantic Soybean Association Minnesota Corn Growers Association

Minnesota Soybean Growers Association Mississippi Soybean Association Missouri Corn Growers Association Missouri Soybean Association Nebraska Corn Growers Association Nebraska Soybean Growers Association New York Corn and Soybean Growers Association North Carolina Soybean Producers Association North Dakota Corn Growers Association North Dakota Soybean Growers Association Ohio Corn and Wheat Growers Association Ohio Soybean Association Oklahoma Soybean Association Pennsylvania Corn Growers Association South Carolina Corn and Soybean Association South Dakota Corn Growers Association South Dakota Soybean Association Tennessee Corn Growers Association Tennessee Soybean Association Texas Citrus Mutual Texas Corn Producers Association Texas International Produce Association Texas Soybean Association Virginia Grain Producers Association Virginia Soybean Association Wisconsin Corn Growers Association Wisconsin Soybean Association

## CC:

The Honorable Thomas J. Vilsack, Secretary, U.S. Department of Agriculture The Honorable Gina Raimondo, Secretary, U.S. Department of Commerce Members of Congress